arXiv:1511.04113v1 [math.CO] 12 Nov 2015

Embedded connectivity of recursive networks*

Xiang-Jun Li[a] Qi-Qi Dong[a] Zheng Yan[a] Jun-Ming Xu[b] †

[a]School of Information and Mathematics,
Yangtze University, Jingzhou, Hubei, 434023, China
[b]School of Mathematical Sciences
University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, 230026, China

Abstract

Let G_n be an n-dimensional recursive network. The h-embedded connectivity $\zeta_h(G_n)$ (resp. edge-connectivity $\eta_h(G_n)$) of G_n is the minimum number of vertices (resp. edges) whose removal results in disconnected and each vertex is contained in an h-dimensional subnetwork G_h. This paper determines ζ_h and η_h for the hypercube Q_n and the star graph S_n, and η_3 for the bubble-sort network B_n.

Keywords: connectivity, embedded connectivity, fault-tolerance, h-super connectivity, hypercubes, star graphs, bubble-sort graphs

AMS Subject Classification (2000): 05C40 68M15 68R10

1 Introduction

It is well known that interconnection networks play an important role in parallel computing/communication systems. An interconnection network can be modeled by a graph $G = (V, E)$, where V is the set of processors and E is the set of communication links in the network.

The *connectivity* $\kappa(G)$ (resp. *edge-connectivity* $\lambda(G)$) of G is defined as the minimum number of vertices (resp. edges) whose removal from G results in a disconnected graph. The connectivity $\kappa(G)$ and edge-connectivity $\lambda(G)$ of a graph G are two important measurements for fault tolerance of the network since the larger $\kappa(G)$ or $\lambda(G)$ is, the more reliable the network is.

However, the definitions of $\kappa(G)$ and $\lambda(G)$ are implicitly assumed that any subset of system components is equally likely to be faulty simultaneously, which may not be true in real applications, thus they underestimate the reliability of the network. To overcome such a shortcoming, Harary [2] introduced the concept of conditional connectivity by appending

*The work was supported by NNSF of China (10711233, 11571044), NSF of Hubei(2014CFB248), Young talent fund from Hubei EDU(Q20151311) and ORFIAM, Yangtze University(KF1505, KF1506).
†Corresponding author: xujm@ustc.edu.cn (J.-M. Xu)

some requirements on connected components, Latifi *et al.* [3] specified requirements and proposed the concept of the restricted h-connectivity. These parameters can measure fault tolerance of an interconnection network more accurately than the classical connectivity. The concepts stated here are slightly different from theirs.

For a graph G, $\delta(G)$ denotes its minimum degree. A subset $S \subset V(G)$ (resp. $F \subset E(G)$) is called an *h-vertex-cut* (resp. *edge-cut*), if $G-S$ (resp. $G-F$) is disconnected and $\delta(G-S) \geq h$. The *h-super connectivity* $\kappa^h(G)$ (resp. *h-super edge-connectivity* $\lambda^h(G)$) of G is defined as the cardinality of a minimum h-vertex-cut (resp. h-edge-cut) of G.

For any graph G and any integer h, determining $\kappa^h(G)$ and $\lambda^h(G)$ is quite difficult, no polynomial algorithm to compute them has been yet known so far. In fact, the existence of $\kappa^h(G)$ and $\lambda^h(G)$ is an open problem for $h \geq 1$. Only a little knowledge of results have been known on κ^h and λ^h for some special classes of graphs for any h, such as the hypercube Q_n and the star graph S_n.

In order to facilitate the expansion of the network, and to use the same routing algorithm or maintenance strategy as used in the original one, large-scale parallel computing systems always take some networks of recursive structures as underlying topologies, such as the hypercube Q_n, the star graph S_n, the bubble-sort graph B_n and so on. The presence of vertex and/or edge failures maybe disconnects the entire network, one hopes that every remaining component has undamaged subnetworks (i.e., smaller networks with same topological properties as the original one). Under this consideration, Yang *et al.* [12] proposed the concept of embedded connectivity.

Let G_n be an n-dimensional recursive network. For a positive integer h with $h \leq n-1$, there is a sub-network $G_h \subset G_n$. Let $\delta_h = \delta(G_h)$.

A subset $F \subset V(G_n)$ (resp. $F \subset E(G_n)$) is an *h-embedded vertex-cut* (resp. *h-embedded edge-cut*) if $G_n - F$ is disconnected and each vertex is contained in an h-dimensional subnetwork G_h. The *h-embedded connectivity* $\zeta_h(G_n)$ (resp. *edge-connectivity* $\eta_h(G_n)$) of G_n is defined as the cardinality of a minimum h-embedded vertex-cut (resp. h-embedded edge-cut) of G_n.

By definition, if S is an h-embedded vertex-cut of G_n with $|S| = \zeta_h(G_n)$, then $G_n - S$ contains a sub-network G_h, and so $\delta(G_n - S) \geq \delta_h$, which implies S is a δ_h-vertex-cut of G_n. Thus, $\kappa^{\delta_h}(G_n) \leq |S| = \zeta_h(G_n)$. Similarly, $\lambda^{\delta_h}(G_n) \leq \eta_h(G_n)$. These facts are useful and we write them as the following lemma.

Lemma 1.1 *For $h \leq n-1$, $\zeta_h(G_n) \geq \kappa^{\delta_h}(G_n)$ if $\kappa^{\delta_h}(G_n)$ exists, and $\eta_h(G_n) \geq \lambda^{\delta_h}(G_n)$ if $\lambda^{\delta_h}(G_n)$ exists.*

Using Lemma 1.1, for a star graph S_n and a bubble-sort graph B_n, Yang *et al.* [12,13] determined $\zeta_2(S_n) = 2n-4$ for $n \geq 3$, $\eta_2(S_n) = 2n-4$ for $n \geq 3$ and $\eta_3(S_n) = 6(n-3)$ for $n \geq 4$; and $\zeta_2(B_n) = 2n-4$ for $n \geq 3$. In this paper, we will determine ζ_h and η_h for Q_n and S_n for any $h \leq n-1$ and determine $\eta_3(B_n)$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we determine $\zeta_h(Q_n) = 2^h(n-h)$ for $h \leq n-2$ and $\eta_h(Q_n) = 2^h(n-h)$ for $h \leq n-1$. In Section 3, we determine $\zeta_h(S_n) = \eta_h(S_n) = h!(n-h)$ for $1 \leq h \leq n-1$. In Section 4, we determine $\eta_3(B_n) = 6(n-3)$ for $n \geq 4$ and point out a flaw in the proof of this conclusion in [13]. A conclusion is in Section 5.

For graph terminology and notation not defined here we follow Xu [10]. For a subset X of vertices in G, we do not distinguish X and the induced subgraph $G[X]$.

2 Hypercubes

The hypercube Q_n has the vertex-set consisting of 2^n binary strings of length n, two vertices being linked by an edge if and only if they differ in exactly one position. Hypercubes Q_2, Q_3, Q_4 are shown in Fig. 1.



Fig. 1: The n-cubes Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 and Q_4

The hypercube Q_n is also defined as Cartesian product $K_2 \times K_2 \times \cdots \times K_2$ of n complete graph K_2. Thus, $Q_n = Q_h \times Q_{n-h}$ for $1 \leq h \leq n-1$, and Q_n is a Cayley graph with degree n (see Xu [10]). Oh *et al.* [5] and Wu *et al.* [7] independently determined $\kappa^h(Q_n)$, and Xu [8] determined $\lambda^h(Q_n)$.

Lemma 2.1 *$\kappa^h(Q_n) = 2^h(n-h)$ for any h with $0 \leq h \leq n-2$, and $\lambda^h(Q_n) = 2^h(n-h)$ for any h with $0 \leq h \leq n-1$.*

Since $\delta_h = \delta(Q_h) = h$, by Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 2.1, the following corollary holds.

Corollary 2.2 *$\zeta_h(Q_n) \geq 2^h(n-h)$ for any h with $1 \leq h \leq n-2$, and $\eta_h(Q_n) \geq 2^h(n-h)$ for any h with $1 \leq h \leq n-1$.*

Lemma 2.3 *$\zeta_h(Q_n) \leq 2^h(n-h)$ for any h with $1 \leq h \leq n-2$, and $\eta_h(Q_n) \leq 2^h(n-h)$ for any h with $1 \leq h \leq n-1$.*

Proof. For $h \leq n-2$, let $Q_n = Q_h \times Q_{n-h}$. Let x be a vertex in Q_{n-h}, $N(x)$ be the neighbor-set of x in Q_{n-h}, and $S = Q_h \times N(x)$. Then $Q_n - S$ is disconnected, and isomorphic to $Q_h \times (Q_{n-h} - N(x))$, so each vertex of $Q_n - S$ is in some Q_h. It follows that $\zeta_h(Q_n) \leq |S| = 2^h(n-h)$.

For $h \leq n-1$, $Q_n = Q_h \times Q_{n-h}$, let F be the set of edges between Q_h and $Q_n - Q_h$. It is easy to see that $Q_n - F$ is disconnected, and isomorphic to $Q_h \times (Q_{n-h} - E(y))$, where $E(y)$ is the set of edges in Q_{n-h} incident with a vertex y of Q_{n-h}, Thus each vertex of $Q_n - F$ is in some Q_h. It follows that $\eta_h(Q_n) \leq |F| = 2^h(n-h)$. ∎

Combining Corollary 2.2 with Lemma 2.3, we obtain the following conclusion.

Theorem 2.4 *$\zeta_h(Q_n) = 2^h(n-h)$ for any h with $1 \leq h \leq n-2$, and $\eta_h(Q_n) = 2^h(n-h)$ for any h with $1 \leq h \leq n-1$.*

3 Star graphs

For a given integer n with $n \geq 2$, let $I_n = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, $I'_n = \{2, \ldots, n\}$ and $P(n) = \{p_1 p_2 \ldots p_n : \ p_i \in I_n, p_i \neq p_j, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n\}$, the set of permutations on I_n. Clearly, $|P(n)| = n!$. For $p = p_1 \ldots p_j \ldots p_n \in P(n)$, the digit p_j is called the j-th digit of p.

The n-dimensional star graph S_n has vertex-set $P(n)$ and has an edge between any two vertices if and only if one can be obtained from the other by swapping the 1-th digit and the i-th digit for $i \in I'_n$, that is, two vertices $x = p_1 p_2 \ldots p_i \ldots p_n$ and y are adjacent if and only if $y = p_i p_2 \ldots p_{i-1} p_1 p_{i+1} \ldots p_n$ for some $i \in I'_n$. The star graphs S_2, S_3, S_4 are shown in Fig. 2. It is shown that the star graph S_n is a Cayley graph with degree $(n-1)$ (see Akers and Krishnamurthy [1]).



Fig. 2: The star graphs S_2, S_3 and S_4

For a fixed $i \in I_n$, let $S_n^{j:i}$ denote a subgraph of S_n induced by all vertices whose the j-th digit is i for each $j \in I_n$. By definition, it is easy to see that $S_n^{j:i}$ is isomorphic to S_{n-1} for each $j \in I'_n$ and $S_n^{1:i}$ is an edgeless graph with $(n-1)!$ vertices. As shown in Fig. 1, $S_4^{1:1}$ is an edgeless graph with 6 vertices, $S_4^{j:1}$ is isomorphic to S_3 for each j with $2 \leq j \leq 4$.

There are two different hierarchical structures of S_n depending on different partition methods. The first one is partitioned along a fixed dimension, which is well-known and used frequently. The second one is partitioned along a fixed digit in I_n, which is a new structure proposed by Shi *et al.* [6].

Lemma 3.1 (Shi *et al.* [6], 2012) *For a fixed $i \in I_n$, S_n can be partitioned into n subgraphs $S_n^{j:i}$, which is isomorphic to S_{n-1} for each $j \in I'_n$ and $S_n^{1:i}$ is an edgeless graph with $(n-1)!$ vertices. Moreover, there are $(n-2)!$ independent edges between $S_n^{1:i}$ and $S_n^{j:i}$ for any $j \in I'_n$, and there is no edge between $S_n^{j_1:i}$ and $S_n^{j_2:i}$ for any $j_1, j_2 \in I'_n$ with $j_1 \neq j_2$.*

Clearly, S_1, S_2, S_3 are isomorphic to K_1, K_2, C_6, respectively. As shown in Fig. 1, S_4 is partitioned along digit 1.

Lemma 3.2 (Li and Xu [4], 2014) $\kappa^h(S_n) = \lambda^h(S_n) = (h+1)\,!\,(n-h-1)$ *for any* h *with* $0 \leq h \leq n-2$.

Since $\delta_h = \delta(S_h) = h-1$, by Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 3.2, the following corollary holds.

Corollary 3.3 $\zeta_h(S_n) \geq h\,!\,(n-h)$ *and* $\eta_h(S_n) \geq h\,!\,(n-h)$ *for any* h *with* $1 \leq h \leq n-1$.

To determine $\zeta_h(S_n)$ and $\eta_h(S_n)$, we investigate their upper bounds.

Lemma 3.4 (Yang *et al.* [12]) $\eta_h(S_n) \leq h\,!\,(n-h)$ *for any* h *with* $1 \leq h \leq n-1$.

Now we establish the upper bound on $\zeta_h(S_n)$ by Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.5 $\zeta_h(S_n) \leq h\,!\,(n-h)$ *for any* h *with* $1 \leq h \leq n-1$.

Proof. Let

$$X = \{\ p_1p_2\cdots p_h12\cdots(n-h) \in V(S_n) :\ p_i \in I_n \setminus I_{n-h},\ i\ \in I_h\}.$$

Then, $S_n[X] \cong S_h$. Let T be the neighbor-set of X in $S_n - X$. By the definition of S_n, for a vertex of X, since it has $h-1$ neighbors in X, it exactly has $(n-h)$ neighbors in T, and every vertex of T exactly has one neighbor in X. It follows that

$$|T| = h\,!\,(n-h).$$

Next we show T is an h-embedded restricted vertex-cut of S_n. It suffice to show each vertex on $S_n - (X \cup T)$ is in some subgraph S_h of $S_n - (X \cup T)$.

Assume that $u = p'_1p'_2\cdots p'_n$ is a vertex in $S_n - (X \cup T)$, and let

$$J = \{j \in I_{n-h} :\ p'_{h+j} \neq j\} \text{ and } J' = \{j \in J :\ p'_1 \neq j\}.$$

Since $u \notin X$, we have $J \neq \emptyset$. We claim $J' \neq \emptyset$. Suppose to the contrary $J' = \emptyset$. Then $p'_1 = j$ for each $j \in J$, and so $|J|$=1. Assume $J = \{j\}$. Note that $p'_1 = j$ and $p'_{h+j} \neq j$ $(1 \leq j \leq n-h)$. Thus u is a neighbor of some vertex in X, that is, $u \in T$, which contradicts to $u \notin T$.

Thus, $J' \neq \emptyset$. Let $j_0 \in J'$ and $p'_{i_0} = j_0$ $(1 \leq i_0 \leq n)$. Then $h + j_0 \neq i_0$ and $p'_1 \neq j_0$. We partition S_n by fixing digit j_0, Then $X \subseteq S_n^{(h+j_0):j_0}$ and $u \in S_n^{i_0:j_0}$. By Lemma 3.1, $S_n^{(h+j_0):j_0}$ and $S_n^{i_0:j_0}$ are both isomorphic to S_{n-1}, and there is no edge between $S_n^{(h+j_0):j_0}$ and $S_n^{i_0:j_0}$, and so u is in some S_{n-1} of $S_n \setminus (X \cup T)$, which implies that u is in some S_h of $S_n \setminus (X \cup T)$. Thus, T is an h-embedded restricted vertex-cut of S_n, and so

$$\zeta^{(h)}(S_n) \leq |T| = h\,!(n-h).$$

The lemma follows. ∎

Theorem 3.6 $\zeta_h(S_n) = \eta_h(S_n) = h\,!\,(n-h)$ *for any* h *with* $1 \leq h \leq n-1$.

Proof. For $1 \leq h \leq n-1$, combining Corollary 3.3 with Lemma 3.4, we have $\eta_h(S_n) = h!(n-h)$, and combining Corollary 3.3 with Lemma 3.5, we have $\zeta_h(S_n) = h\,!(n-h)$. ∎

4 Bubble-sort graphs

The n-dimensional bubble-sort graph B_n has vertex-set $P(n)$ and has an edge between any two vertices if and only if one can be obtained from the other by swapping the i-th digit and the $(i+1)$-th digit where $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. The bubble-sort graphs B_2, B_3 and B_4 are shown in Fig. 2.

It is shown that the bubble-sort graph B_n is a Cayley graph with degree $(n-1)$ (see Akers and Krishnamurthy [1]). More specifically, B_n is bipartite and contains n disjoint sub-graphs B_{n-1} by fixing the 1-th digit or n-th digit (see Fig. 3).

For each $i, t \in I_n$, let $B_n^{t:i}$ denote a subgraph of B_n induced by all vertices whose the t-th digit is i. Clearly, $B_n^{t:i} \cong B_{n-1}$ for each $i, t \in I_n$.



Fig. 3: The bubble-sort graphs B_2, B_3 and B_4.

Lemma 4.1 (Akers and Krishnamurthy [1], 1989) *For a fixed $t \in \{1, n\}$, B_n can be partitioned into n subgraphs $B_n^{t:j}$ isomorphic to B_{n-1} for each $j \in I_n$, moreover, there are $(n-2)!$ independent edges between $B_n^{t:j_1}$ and $B_n^{t:j_2}$ for any $j_1, j_2 \in I_n$ with $j_1 \neq j_2$.*

Lemma 4.2 (Yang *et al.* [13], 2014) *$\eta_h(B_n) \leq h\,!\,(n-h)$ for any h with $1 \leq h \leq n-1$ and $n \geq 2$.*

It was showed by Xu [9] in 2000 that for a vertex-transitive connected graph G with order n ($\geqslant 4$) and with degree d ($\geqslant 2$), $\lambda^1(G) = 2d-2$ if n is odd or G contains no triangles. Since B_n is vertex-transitive and bipartite, we have the following result.

Lemma 4.3 *$\lambda^1(B_n) = 2n-4$ for $n \geq 3$.*

Since $\delta_h = \delta(B_h) = h-1$, by Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 4.3 $\eta_2(B_n) \geq \lambda^1(B_n) = 2n-4$, and by Lemma 4.2 the following corollary holds.

Corollary 4.4 *$\eta_2(B_n) = 2n-4$ if $n \geq 3$.*

Yang *et al.* also stated this result (see Lemma 4.4 in [13]), however, their proof is not true since they use an incorrect condition that the girth of B_n is 6 (in fact the girth of B_n is 4 for $n \geq 4$).

For $h = 3$, using Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 1.1, Yang *et al.* [13] gave $\eta_3(B_n) = 6(n-3)$. However, when Lemma 1.1 is used, they assume an incorrect conclusion: $\lambda^2(B_n) = 6(n-3)$ (see Lemma 4.6 in [13]). In fact, $\lambda^2(B_n) = 4(n-3)$ for $n \geq 4$ (see [11]). It follows that Yang *et al.*'s proof is not correct.

In this section, we will prove $\eta_3(B_n) \geq 6(n-3)$ for $n \geq 4$. The proof proceeds by induction on $n\,(\geq 4)$. And so we first consider the case of $n = 4$.

An edge-cut F of B_n is called a B_h-edge-cut if every component of $B_n - F$ contains a B_h as subgraph. By definition, every h-embedded vertex-cut of B_n is certainly a B_h-edge-cut.

Lemma 4.5 *If F be a B_3-edge-cut of B_4, then $|F| \geq 6$.*

Proof. We first prove that there are 6 vertex-disjoint paths between each two disjoint B_3s in B_4. By symmetry, we only need to consider the paths from $B_4^{4,1}$ to $B_4^{4,4}$ and $B_4^{1,1}$. Such paths are illustrated in the Table 1 (also see Fig. 4). If F is a B_3-edge-cut of B_4, then F contains at least one edge of every path in the 6 vertex-disjoint paths between the two disjoint B_3s. It follows that $|F| \geq 6$. ∎

From \ To	$B_4^{4,4}$	$B_4^{1,1}$
$B_4^{4,1}$	3241 - 3214	3241 - 3214 - 3124 - 1324
	2341 - 2314	2341 - 2314 - 2134 - 1234
	3421 - 3412 - 3142 - 3124	3421 - 3412 - 3142 - 1342
	2431 - 2413 - 2143 - 2134	2431 - 2413 - 2143 - 1243
	4321 - 4312 - 4132 - 1432 - 1342 - 1324	4321 - 4312 - 4132 - 1432
	4231 - 4213 - 4123 - 1423 - 1243 - 1234	4231 - 4213 - 4123 - 1423

Table 1: The disjoint paths between two disjoint B_3s in B_4



(a) 6 vertex-disjoint paths between $B_4^{4,1}$ and $B_4^{4,4}$ (b) 6 vertex-disjoint paths between $B_4^{4,1}$ and $B_4^{1,1}$

Fig. 4: The disjoint paths between two disjoint B_3s in B_4

Theorem 4.6 *$\eta_3(B_n) = 6(n-3)$ if $n \geq 4$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.2, we only need to prove $\eta_3(B_n) \geq 6(n-3)$ for $n \geq 4$. Let F be a B_3-edge-cut of B_n. Since any 3-embedded edge-cut is certainly a B_3-edge-cut of B_n, we have that $\eta_3(B_n) \geq |F|$.Thus it suffice to show

$$|F| \geq 6(n-3). \tag{4.1}$$

The proof proceeds by induction on $n\,(\geq 4)$. When $n = 4$, the result follows by Lemma 4.5. Assume the induction hypothesis for any m with $4 \leq m \leq n-1$ with $n \geq 5$, that is

$$|F'| \geq 6(m-3) \ \text{ for any } B_3\text{-edge-cut } F' \text{ of } B_m. \tag{4.2}$$

Let F be a minimum B_3-edge-cut of B_n. Clearly, $B_n - F$ has exactly two connected components, denoted by X and Y, respectively, and assume $|X| \leq |Y|$ without loss of generality.

Use notations $\delta(X)$ and $\delta(Y)$ to denote the minimum degrees of X and Y, respectively. We assert that $\delta(X) \geq 2$ and $\delta(Y) \geq 2$.

Assume to the contrary that there exists a vertex $x \in X$ such that $d_X(x) = 1$. Then x has at least 3 neighbors in Y since B_n is $(n-1)$-regular and $n \geq 5$. Let $X' = X \setminus \{x\}$, $Y' = Y \cup \{x\}$ and F' be the set of edges between X' and Y'. Then F' is also a B_3-edge-cut of B_n and $|F'| \leq |F| - 3 + 1 = |F| - 2$, which contradicts to the minimality of F.

To complete our proof, for a fixed $t \in \{1, n\}$ and any $i \in I_n$, let

$$\begin{array}{ll} X_i = X \cap V(B_n^{t:i}), & Y_i = Y \cap V(B_n^{t:i}), \\ F_i = F \cap E(B_n^{t:i}), & F_{ij} = F \cap E(B_n^{t:i}, B_n^{t:j}), \end{array}$$

where $E(B_n^{t:i}, B_n^{t:j})$ denotes the set of edges between $B_n^{t:i}$ and $B_n^{t:j}$ for $i \neq j$. Let

$$J_X = \{i \in I_n : \ X_i \neq \emptyset\}, J_Y = \{i \in I_n : \ Y_i \neq \emptyset\} \ \text{ and } \ J_0 = J_X \cap J_Y.$$

Clearly, $|J_X| \geq 1$ and $|J_Y| \geq 1$ since F is a B_3-edge-cut of B_n. We choose such $t \in \{1, n\}$ that $|J_X|$ is as large as possible, say $t = 1$.

First assume $|J_0| \geq 3$. For $i \in J_0$, we have $\delta(X_i) \geq 1$ and $\delta(Y_i) \geq 1$ since $\delta(X) \geq 2$ and $\delta(Y) \geq 2$, and each vertex in $B_n^{1:i}$ only has one neighbor not in $B_n^{1:i}$ by Lemma 4.1. It follows that F_i is a 1-edge-cut of $B_n^{1:i}$ for $i \in J_0$. By Lemma 4.3, we have that

$$|F_i| \geq \lambda^1(B_{n-1}) = 2(n-3) \ \text{ for } i \in J_0, \tag{4.3}$$

and so

$$|F| \geq \sum_{i \in J_0} |F_i| \geq |J_0| 2(n-3) \geq 6(n-3).$$

The inequality (4.1) follows.

Now assume $|J_0| \leq 2$. Let $a = |J_X \setminus J_0|$ and $b = |J_Y \setminus J_0|$. Then $2a \leq n$ since $|X| \leq |Y|$. Since any $i \in I_n$ not in J_X is certainly in J_Y, $a + b = n - |J_0| \geq n - 2$.

Assume $a \geq 1$ and $j_1 \in J_X \setminus J_0, j_2 \in J_Y \setminus J_0$. By Lemma 4.1 there are $(n-2)!$ independent edges between $B_n^{1:j_1}$ and $B_n^{1:j_2}$, and so there are $(ab(n-2)\,!)$ independent edges between $\cup_{j_1 \in J_X \setminus J_0} B_n^{1:j_1}$ and $\cup_{j_2 \in J_Y \setminus J_0} B_n^{1:j_2}$. It follows that for $n \geq 5$

$$\begin{array}{rl} |F| & \geq ab(n-2)\,! \geq a(n-2-a)(n-2)\,! \\ & \geq (n-2-1)(n-2)\,! \geq 6(n-3). \end{array}$$

The inequality (4.1) follows.

Next assume $a = 0$. Then $1 \le |J_X| = |J_0| \le 2$ and $|J_Y| = n$. We first show that

$$Y_i \text{ contains a } B_3 \text{ as a subgraph for each } i \in I_n. \tag{4.4}$$

To this end, for $i \in I_n$, let K_i be the set of the n-th digits of vertices in X_i, that is, $K_i = \{p_n : \ ix_2 \cdots x_{n-1}p_n \in X_i,\ p_n \in I_n \setminus \{i\}, x_j \in I_n \setminus \{i, p_n\}, 2 \le j \le n-1\}$. Let $K_i' = I_n \setminus (K_i \cup \{i\})$. By choice of t and $|J_X| \le 2$, we have $|K_i| \le 2$ and $|K_i'| = (n-1) - |K_i| \ge n-3 \ge 2$. Thus, for fixed $i \in I_n$ and $p_n \in K_i'$, the subgraph induced by $\{ix_2 \cdots x_{n-1}p_n : \ x_j \in I_n \setminus \{i, p_n\}, 2 \le j \le n-1\}$ is isomorphic to a B_{n-2} and is contained in Y_i, which implies that Y_i contains a B_3 since $n \ge 5$, and so the assertion (4.4) holds. Thus, for any $i \in J_X$, if X_i contains a B_3, then F_i is a B_3-edge-cut of $B_n^{1:i}$ by the assertion (4.4). By the induction hypothesis (4.2), we have that

$$|F_i| \ge 6(n-4) \text{ if } X_i \text{ contains a } B_3 \text{ as a subgraph for each } i \in J_X. \tag{4.5}$$

We consider two cases according as $|J_X| = 1$ and $|J_X| = 2$.

Case 1. $|J_X| = 1$.

Without loss of generality, assume that $J_0 = J_X = \{1\}$, and so $X_1 = X \cap V(B_n^{1:1})$. By the hypothesis of F, X_1 contains a B_3, $|X_1| \ge 6$, and each vertex in X_1 has one neighbor not in $B_n^{1:1}$. Combining these facts with the assumption (4.5), we have that

$$|F| \ge |F_1| + |X_1| \ge 6(n-4) + 6 = 6(n-3).$$

Case 2. $|J_X| = 2$.

Without loss of generality, assume $J_X = \{1, 2\}$, and so $X_1 = X \cap V(B_n^{1:1})$ and $X_2 = X \cap V(B_n^{1:2})$. By the hypothesis of F, $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ contains a B_3. If neither X_1 nor X_2 contain a B_3, then there is a vertex in the B_3 whose the first digit is different from 1 and 2, which implies $|J_X| \ge 3$, a contradiction. Thus, without loss of generality, assume that X_1 contains a B_3. By the assumptions (4.5) and (4.3), for $n \ge 6$ we have that

$$|F| \ge |F_1| + |F_2| \ge 6(n-4) + 2(n-3) \ge 6(n-3). \tag{4.6}$$



Fig. 5: The neighbors of a B_3 in a B_5

Now assume $n = 5$. Then the vertices of B_3 in X_1 must have forms $1x_2x_3x_4p_5$ or $1p_2x_3x_4x_5$, where p_2 and p_5 are fixed. Since $|K_i| \le 2$ for each $i \in I_n$, the vertices of B_3

in X_1 have the former form. Without loss of generality, say $p_5 = 5$. Then the vertex-set of B_3 and the neighbors of X_1 not in $B_5^{1:1}$ are shown in Fig. 5, where four neighbors are in $B_5^{1:3} \cup B_5^{1:4}$. This shows that no matter how $x_2x_3x_4p_5$ is chosen, we always have $\sum_{j=3}^{5} F_{1j} \geq 2 \times 2 = 4$. It follows that

$$|F| \geq |F_1| + |F_2| + \sum_{j=3}^{n} F_{1j} \geq 6(n-4) + 2(n-3) + 4 > 6(n-3).$$

The inequality (4.1) follows. By induction principles, the theorem follows. ∎

5 Conclusions

In this paper, we investigate the h-embedded connectivity ζ_h and h-embedded edge-connectivity η_h in the hypercube Q_n, the star graph S_n and the bubble-sort graph B_n. We determine that $\zeta_h(Q_n) = 2^h(n-h)$ for $h \leq n-2$, $\eta_h(Q_n) = 2^h(n-h)$ for $h \leq n-1$, $\zeta_h(S_n) = \eta_h(S_n) = h!(n-h)$ for $1 \leq h \leq n-1$, and $\eta_3(B_n) = 6(n-3)$ for $n \geq 4$. These results can provide more accurate measurements for fault tolerance of the system when the graphs are used to model the topological structure of large-scale parallel processing systems, and correct some wrong conclusions and proofs in [12, 13]. The value of $\zeta_h(B_n)$ for $h \geq 3$ and the value of $\eta_h(B_n)$ for $h \geq 4$ deserve further research.

References

[1] S. B. Akers, B. Krishnamurthy, A group theoretic model for symmetric interconnection networks. IEEE Transactions on Computers, 38 (4) (1989), 555-566.

[2] F. Harary, Conditional connectivity. Networks, 13 (1983), 347-357.

[3] S. Latifi, M. Hegde, M. Naraghi-Pour, Conditional connectivity measures for large multiprocessor systems. IEEE Transactions on Computers, 43 (2) (1994), 218-222.

[4] X.-J. Li, J.-M. Xu, Generalized measures for fault tolerance of star networks, Networks, 63 (3) (2014), 225-230.

[5] A. D. Oh, H. Choi, Generalized measures of fault tolerance in n-cube networks. IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems, 4 (1993), 702-703.

[6] W. Shi, F. Luo, P. K. Srimani, A new hierarchical structure of star graphs and applications. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 7154, (2012), pp. 267-268.

[7] J. Wu, G. Guo, Fault tolerance measures for m-ary n-dimensional hypercubes based on forbidden faulty sets. IEEE Transactions on Computers, 47 (1998), 888-893.

[8] J.-M. Xu, On conditional edge-connectivity of graphs. Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica, 16 (4) (2000), 414-419.

[9] J.-M. Xu, Restricted edge-connectivity of vertex-transitive graphs, Chinese Journal of Contemporary Mathematics, 21 (4) (2000), 369-374.

[10] J.-M. Xu, Topological Structure and Analysis of Interconnection Networks. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/Boston/London, 2001.

[11] W.-H. Yang, H.-Z Li, J.-X Meng, Conditional connectivity of Cayley graphs generated by transposition trees. Information Processing Letters, 110 (2010), 1027-1030.

[12] Y. Yang, S. Wang, Conditional connectivity of star graph networks under embedded restriction. Information Sciences, 199 (15) (2012), 187-192.

[13] Y. Yang, S. Wang, J. Li, Conditional connectivity of recursive interconnection networks respect to embedding restriction. Information Sciences, 279 (2014), 273-279.